Literature Cited

Barbour, M.T. 1997. The re-invention of biological assessment in the U.S. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*. 3(6):933-940.

Barbour, M.T. and J. Gerritsen. 1996. Subsampling of benthic samples: A defense of the fixed-count methods. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 15(3):386-391.

Barbour, M.T., J.M. Diamond, C.O. Yoder. 1996a. Biological assessment strategies: Applications and Limitations. Pages 245-270 in D.R. Grothe, K.L. Dickson, and D.K. Reed-Judkins (editors). Whole effluent toxicity testing: An evaluation of methods and prediction of receiving system impacts, SETAC Press, Pensacola, Florida.

Barbour, M.T., J. Gerritsen, G.E. Griffith, R. Frydenborg, E. McCarron, J.S. White, and M.L Bastian. 1996b. A framework for biological criteria for Florida streams using benthic macroinvertebrates. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society.* 15(2): 185-211.

Barbour, M.T., J. Gerritsen, and J.S. White. 1996c. Development of the stream condition index (SCI) for Florida. Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, Florida.

Barbour, M.T., J. Gerritsen, B.D. Snyder, and J.B. Stribling. 1999. *Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates and Fish.* Second Edition. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Water; Washington, D.C. EPA 481-B-99-002.

Davis, W.S. 1995. Biological assessment and criteria: Building on the past. Pages 15-29 in W.S. Davis and T.P. Simon (editors). 1995. *Biological assessment and criteria: Tools for water resource planning and decision making.* Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.

Davis, W.S., B.D. Snyder, J.B. Stribling, and C. Stoughton. 1996. *Summary of State biological assessment programs for streams and rivers*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Planning, Policy, and Evaluation, Washington, D.C. EPA 230

Diamond, J.M., M.T. Barbour, and J.B. Stribling. 1996. Characterizing and comparing bioassessment methods and their results: A perspective. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 15:713-727.

Harrington, J. M. 1999. California Stream Bioassessment Procedure. California Department of Fish and Game, Aquatic Bioassessment Laboratory. Rancho Cordova, Ca.

Herbst, D.B. 2002a. *Biomonitoring on the Upper Truckee River using aquatic macroinvertebrates: baseline data for 1998-2000.* Report to the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Literature Cited 50

Herbst, D.B. 2002b. *Bioassessment monitoring of acid mine drainage impacts in streams of the Leviathan Mine watershed for Spring and Fall 2000*. Report to the US Forest Service, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest.

Herbst, D.B. 2002c. Development of biological water quality targets for assessment of total maximum daily load (TMDL) of sediment in the Squaw Creek watershed (Placer County, CA). Report to the Lahontan Water Quality Control Board.

Intergovernmental Task Force on Monitoring Water Quality (ITFM). 1995. The strategy for improving water-quality monitoring in the United States: Final report of the Intergovernmental Task Force on Monitoring Water Quality. Technical appendixes. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia.

Karr, J.R. 1981. Assessment of biotic integrity using fish communities. *Fisheries* 66:21-27.

Kerans, B.L. and J.R. Karr. 1994. A benthic index of biotic integrity (B-IBI) for rivers of the Tennessee Valley. *Ecological Applications* 4:768-785.

Klemm, D.J. and J.M. Lazorchak. 1995. Environmental monitoring and assessment program—surface waters: Field operations and methods for measuring the ecological conditions of wadeable streams. Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA/620/R-94/004.

Klemm, D.J., P.A. Lewis, F. Fulk, and J.M. Lazorchak. 1990. Macroinvertebrate field and laboratory methods for evaluating the biological integrity of surface waters. *Environmental monitoring and assessment program* — *surface waters: Field operations and methods for measuring the ecological conditions of wadeable streams*. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA/600/4/90/030.

Lazorchak, J.M., Klemm, D.J., and D.V. Peck (editors) 1998. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program – Surface Waters: Field Operations and Methods for Measuring the Ecological Condition of Wadeable Streams*. EPA/620/R-94/004F. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

National Research Council (NRC). 2001. Assessing the TMDL Approach to Water Quality Management. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

National Water Quality Monitoring Council (NWQMC). 2001. *Towards a definition of a performance-based approach to laboratory methods*. Methods and Data Comparability Board, National Water Quality Monitoring Council, Technical Report 01-02, US Geological Survey, Water Information Office, Reston, VA

Literature Cited 51

Plafkin, J.L., M.T. Barbour, K.D. Porter, S.K. Gross, and R.M. Hughes. 1989. *Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in streams and rivers: Benthic macroinvertebrates and fish.* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Regulations and Standards, Washington, D.C. EPA 440-4-89-001.

Simon, T.P. (Ed.). 2002. Biological response signatures: Patterns in biological indicators for assessing freshwater aquatic assemblages. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1992. *Procedures for initiating narrative biological criteria*. Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC. EPA 822-B-92-002.

USEPA. 1998. *Clean water action plan: Restoring and protecting America's waters*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C. EPA-840-R-98-001.

USEPA. 2000. *Stressor identification guidance document*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C. EPA-822-B-00-025.

USEPA. 2002. Summary of Biological Assessment Programs and Biocriteria Development for States, Tribes, Territories, and Interstate Commissions - Streams and Wadeable Rivers. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C. DRAFT.

Yoder, C.O. and E.T. Rankin. 1995. Biological response signatures and the area of degradation value: New tools for interpreting multimetric data. Pages 263-286 in W.S. Davis and T.P. Simon (editors). 1995. Biological assessment and criteria: Tools for water resource planning and decision making. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida.

Literature Cited 52